## Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 2676 Filed 09/15/21 Page 1 of 7

1	GORDON H. DePAOLI				
2	Nevada State Bar No. 00195 DALE E. FERGUSON				
3	Nevada State Bar No. 4986 DOMENICO R. DePAOLI Nevada State Bar No. 11553 WOODBURN AND WEDGE 6100 Neil Road, Suite 500 Reno, Nevada 89511 Telephone: 775 / 688-3000				
4					
5					
6					
7	Attorneys for WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT				
9	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA				
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	3:73-cv-00127-MMD-WGC			
12	Plaintiff,				
13 14	WALKER RIVER PAIUTE TRIBE,	STIPULATION AND ORDER AMENDING ORDER REGARDING DISCOVERY AND			
15	Plaintiff-Intervenor,	) MOTION SCHEDULE AS ) PREVIOUSLY AMENDED			
16	V.				
17 18	WALKER RIVER IRRIGATION DISTRICT, a corporation, et al.,				
19	Defendants.	) ) )			
20	1. On March 16, 2020, the Court entered the Order Regarding Discovery an				
21	Motion Schedule and Procedure (the "Order"). ECF 2611.				
22	2. On December 28, 2020, a Stipular	tion and Order Amending Order Regarding			
23	Discovery and Motion Schedule was entered (the "Amendment to the Order"). ECF 2648				
24	3. The Amendment to the Order was c	oncerned with and addressed the fact that the			
25	historical expert engaged by the Principal Defendants to provide a response to the Historica				
26	Reports of the United States and Tribe and perhap	s also to provide an opening expert report on			
27	historical matters which may not be directly addressed in the Historical Reports of the Unite				
28	States and Tribe was not able to undertake her re	esearch plan because the several repositories			

#### Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 2676 Filed 09/15/21 Page 2 of 7

holding manuscript collections critical to her research and assignments in this matter were and for some time prior had been and then remained closed due to the Covid-19 Pandemic, making archival research progress (outside of digitally available records) impossible.

- 4. At that time, the Principal Defendants' historical expert had been waiting for the following archive/records offices to reopen: the National Archives Riverside; National Archives San Bruno; National Archives DC/College Park; California State Archives; Nevada State Archives; and the Water Resource Center Archives at the University of California (the "Archive / Records Offices"). The National Archives Seattle has been added to and should now be included in the Archive / Records Offices.
- 5. Pursuant to the Amendment to the Order, since February 1, 2021, and continuing to September 1, 2021, the Principal Defendants have reported to the Plaintiffs' Counsel and to the Court concerning the status of access to each of the Archive/Records Offices.
  - 6. The most recent Report advised:

As noted in the August 2, 2021 report, on July 16, 2021 the National Archives Records Administration (NARA) issued a press release stating that it was starting to resume research operations, and that starting Monday, August 2, 2021, most National Archives research rooms would reopen for research on a limited basis. However, on or about August 16, 2021, National Archives in Washington, D.C. announced it was again closed with no information on when it might reopen. The National Archives, College Park, Md, the National Archives, San Bruno, the National Archives, Riverside and the National Archives, Seattle are all closed, also with no information on when they might reopen. All of these facilities offer the following information on their websites: "once public health conditions improve, and we are able to reopen our research room, we will update our website with additional information." As of this date none have reopened.

As of September 1, 2021, the Nevada State Archives continues to be open with limited hours from 10:00 AM to 2:00 PM on business days. As of September 1, 2021, the other Archive/Records Offices as defined in said Order and not mentioned above remain closed.

See ECF 2674 at 1

7. The Amendment to the Order suspended the January 29, 2021 due date for the Principal Defendants' Responsive Historical Report and Opening Historical Report.

### Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 2676 Filed 09/15/21 Page 3 of 7

- 8. The Amendment to the Order also provided that the date of Plaintiffs' Response to the Principal Defendants' Opening Historical Report, if any, is also suspended to a date which is 60 days after the Principal Defendants Opening Historical Report is served, the date for Plaintiffs' Rebuttal to the Principal Defendants' Responsive Historical Report is also suspended to a date which is 60 days after the Principal Defendants' Responsive Historical Report is served and that the date for Principal Defendants' Rebuttal to Plaintiffs' response to Principal Defendants' Opening Historical Report is also suspended to a date which is 60 days after Plaintiffs' Responsive Report is served.
- 9. The Amendment to the Order further provided that the depositions of experts whose opening, responsive and/or rebuttal reports are delayed by this Stipulation shall be delayed until the last of such reports have been served. In the event insufficient time exists to complete such depositions within the discovery period, Plaintiffs and Principal Defendants will seek appropriate relief from the Court.
- 10. The Amendment to the Order further provided that the schedule for and expert report sequence for all other opening, responsive and rebuttal expert reports are not modified by this Stipulation and Order, and shall remain as provided therein and in the following table:

Non-Historical Expert Sequence				
Plaintiffs' Opening	August 14, 2020			
Defendants' Response	January 29, 2021	Defendants' Opening	January 29, 2021	
1		Plaintiffs' Response	March 26, 2021	
Plaintiffs' Rebuttal	April 30, 2021	Defendants' Rebuttal	April 30, 2021	

- 11. The requirements of that schedule have been met.
- 12. The Amendment to the Order also provided that if the author of one or more of the non-historical expert reports which will be provided on the current schedule later becomes aware of information obtained from archival research which is the result of the facilities reopening and which, in their judgment, is relevant to their opinions, they may supplement their reports and opinions within 30 days.

- 13. In addition to meeting the schedule set forth in the table above for non-Historical Expert Reports, Plaintiffs and the Principal Defendants have engaged in significant written discovery.
- 14. As noted above, with the exception of the Nevada State Archives which is open with limited hours, all of the other archive repositories remain closed with considerable uncertainty as to when they might reopen.
- 15. The Plaintiffs and Principal Defendants have conferred and agree that the Order and the Amendment to the Order should be modified in light of the foregoing circumstances.

NOW, THEREFORE, pursuant to Paragraphs 14 and 16 of the Order, the parties hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

- 1. Because the expert engaged to prepare the Principal Defendants' Responsive Historical Report and the Principal Defendants' Opening Historical Report needs access to the Archive/Records Offices, the due date for those reports remains suspended.
- 2. The suspension provided for in Paragraph 1 of this Stipulation will continue until an appropriate date determined by the Court. This date shall be a date after the reopening date of the Archive/Records Offices to which the Principal Defendants' historical expert is awaiting access. In establishing this date, consideration will be given to the date of such reopenings, when the expert has been allowed access, obtained and reviewed materials, and the time needed to complete a report based upon the expert's then schedule. In light of the unexpected delay occasioned by the Covid-19 Pandemic circumstances, the Principal Defendants' historical expert shall take all reasonable steps, consistent with her then availability, to work expeditiously to access the Archive/Records Offices, and to complete the Principal Defendants' Responsive Historical Report and the Principal Defendants' Opening Historical Report.
- 3. On the first judicial day of each month thereafter, the Principal Defendants will continue to report to the Plaintiffs' Counsel and to the Court concerning the status of access to each of the Archive/Records Offices.
  - 4. The Plaintiffs will share with the Principal Defendants any information which

#### Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 2676 Filed 09/15/21 Page 5 of 7

they may have concerning access to the Archive/Records Offices on the same schedule.

- 5. The date for Plaintiffs' Response to the Principal Defendants' Opening Historical Report, if any, continues to be suspended to a date which is 60 days after the Principal Defendants' Opening Historical Report is served.
- 6. The date for Plaintiffs' Rebuttal to the Principal Defendants' Responsive Historical Report continues to be suspended to a date which is 60 days after the Principal Defendants' Responsive Historical Report is served.
- 7. The date for Principal Defendants' Rebuttal to Plaintiffs' response to Principal Defendants' Opening Historical Report is also suspended to a date which is 60 days after Plaintiffs' Responsive Report is served.
- 8. The date for close of all forms of discovery allowed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, including all depositions, whether of historical experts or not, as provided in paragraph 2.b of the Order is extended from January 31, 2022 to July 31, 2022.
- 9. Depositions of experts whose opening, responsive and/or rebuttal reports are delayed by this Stipulation shall be delayed until the last of such reports have been served. In the event insufficient time exists to complete such depositions within the discovery period as extended, Plaintiffs and Principal Defendants will seek appropriate relief from the Court.
- 10. If the author of one or more of the non-historical expert reports which were previously provided later becomes aware of information obtained from archival research which is the result of the facilities reopening and which, in their judgement, is relevant to their opinions, they may supplement their reports and opinions within 30 days.

Dated: September 14, 2021.

#### WOODBURN AND WEDGE

# By: /s/ Gordon H. DePaoli Gordon H. DePaoli Nevada Bar No. 195 6100 Neil Road, Suite 500 Reno, Nevada 89511 Attorneys for Walker River Irrigation District

#### U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

By: / s / Guss Guarino			
(per authorization)			
Guss Guarino, Trial Attorney			
Tyler J. Eastman, Trial Attorney			
Marisa J. Hazell, Trial Attorney			
Environment and Natural Resources Div.			
999 18 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 370			
Denver, Colorado 80202			

## Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 2676 Filed 09/15/21 Page 6 of 7

1	LAW OFFICES OF WES WILLIAMS, JR.,	David L. Negri
2	P.C.	Trial Attorney, Natural Resources Section
	By: / s / Wes Williams, Jr.	c/o U.S. Attorney's Office 800 Park Boulevard, Suite 600
3	(per authorization)	Boise, Idaho 83712
4	Wes Williams, Jr., NSB 6864	Attorneys for United States of America
.	3119 Lake Pasture Rd.	
5	P.O. Box 100	
6	Schurz, Nevada 89427	ADVOCATES FOR COMMUNITY & ENVIRONMENT
7	MEYER, WALKER, CONDON &	
	WALKER, P.C.	By: / s / Simeon Herskovits
8	Alice E. Weller	(per authorization)
9	Alice E. Walker 1007 Pearl Street, Suite 220	Simeon Herskovits, NSB 11155 Iris Thornton
	Boulder, Colorado 80302	P.O. Box 1075
10	Attorneys for Walker River Paiute Tribe	El Prado, New Mexico 87529
1.1	11100,1100,200, 77 41110, 11110, 11110, 11110,	Attorneys for Mineral County
11	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	BEST BEST & KRIEGER
12	OF CALIFORNIA	
		By: / s / Roderick E. Walston
13	By: / s / Nhu Q. Nguyen	(per authorization)
14	(per authorization)	Roderick E. Walston
	Nhu Q. Nguyen, NSB 7844 1300 I Street, Suite 125	2001 N. Main Street, Suite 390 Walnut Creek, California 94596
15	P.O. Box 944255	wallut Cicck, California 94390
16	Sacramento, California 94244-2550	Jerry Snyder, NSB 6830
10	Attorneys for California State Agencies	429 W. Plumb Lane
17		Reno, Nevada 89509
18		Attorneys for Lyon County
10		THE COUNTY OF MONO (CA)
19	STATE OF NEVADA OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	THE COUNTY OF MONO (CA)
20	ATTORNET GENERAL	By: / s / Stacey Simon
20	By: / s / Anthony J. Walsh	(per authorization)
21	(per authorization)	Stacey Simon, County Counsel
22	Anthony J. Walsh, NSB 14128	Emily Fox, Dep. County Counsel
22	Deputy Attorney General	P.O. Box 2415A
23	100 N. Carson Street	Mammoth Lakes, California 93546-2415
24	Carson City, Nevada 89701-4717  Attorneys for Nevada Department of Wildlife	Attorneys for Mono County
24	211101 heys for the vada Department of Withtige	
25		
26		
27		
28		

## Case 3:73-cv-00127-MMD-CSD Document 2676 Filed 09/15/21 Page 7 of 7

		•
1	SCHROEDER LAW OFFICES, P.C.	SIMONS HALL JOHNSTON PC
2	By: / s / Therese A. Ure	By: / s / Brad Johnston
3	(per authorization) Therese A. Ure, NSB 10255	(per authorization) Brad M. Johnston, NSB 8515
4	10615 Double R Boulevard, Suite 100	22 State Route 208
5	Reno, Nevada 89521 Attorneys for The Schroeder Group	Yerington, Nevada 89447 Attorneys for Desert Pearl Farms, Peri Family Ranch, LLC, Peri & Peri LLC, and
7		Frade Ranches
		<u>ORDER</u>
8	Dated: September 15, 2021 , 202	21. IT IS SO ORDERED.
9		Willen G. Cobb
10		William G. Cobb
11		United States Magistrate Judge
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
20		

28